	Case 2:21-cv-02282-KJM-JDP Document 92	Filed 03/04/25	Page 1 of 1
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	·		
11	Demond Charles Brackett,	No. 2:21-cv-0228	2-KJM-JDP
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER	
13	v.		
14	Wendell Anderson, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	The parties previously stipulated to the dismissal of this action with prejudice after they		
18	reached a settlement agreement. See Not. Settlement, ECF No. 88; Stip., ECF No. 89. The court		
19	dismissed the action and closed the case without retaining jurisdiction. Min. Order, ECF No. 90.		
20	Plaintiff now alleges defendants have violated the settlement agreement. ECF No. 91. The court		
21 22	construes that notice as a motion to enforce the settlement agreement. Absent an independent basis of federal subject matter jurisdiction, the enforcement of the settlement agreement is for the		
23	state courts. See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am., 511 U.S. 375, 381 (1994). The		
24	notice at ECF No. 91, construed as a motion to enforce, is therefore denied for lack of		
25	jurisdiction.		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
27	DATED: March 4, 2025.	100	.0 (
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		